Project Number:	
Project Type:	_
Project Description:	

DESIGN REVIEW LIST FOR FINAL CONSTRUCTION PLANS

I certify that all applicable items on this review list are shown on the final construction plans correctly. All items have been addressed and meet or exceed minimums required by the City of Lincoln design standards, City of Lincoln standard specifications for municipal construction, and Lincoln Standard Plans (LSP). Items on this review list that do not meet the minimum requirements are as noted on the review list and have the necessary notes of explanation attached.

Checklist Prepared By:	(signature)
Project Designer (Engineer-in-charge):	
Engineering Firm:	
Address:	
City, State Zip:	
Telephone:	
Email:	

Note: The following checklist is not intended to cover all of the details, notes, and information that may be necessary for final construction plans. The checklist below addresses the items where most questions or problems generally arise. Specific issues, concerns, and conditions may require exercise of engineering judgement. Notes of explanation are required in such cases.

FINAL PLAN SUBMISSION CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO "PLANS TURNED-IN" TO CITY FOR THEIR REVIEW.

- ✓ Place a "CHECKMARK" by applicable items on this list that have been completed, reviewed and checked. These items meet or exceed minimum requirements by the appropriate authority..
- N/A Place "N/A" by non-applicable items on this revuiew list and are not required nor a part of the project.
- * Place a "STAR" by items on this review list that are not complete or do not meet the minimum requirements. Necessary notes of explanation are attached.

Revised: February 4, 2003 Page 1 of 8

Projec	t Number:
Projec	t Type:
Projec	t Description:
GEN	ERAL:
	_ Location of Project is Complete and Accurate.
	_ Group Items, by Discipline in Letting List.
	Project Concept. The Proposed Improvements and Project Limits are Consistent with the
	Scope and Type of Work.
	_ Design Data is Shown on Design Memo. Design Exceptions Must be Requested and
	Approved. Non-standard Construction Items are to be Noted in the Design Memo and
	Addressed in Special Provisions and Bid Items.
	Correct Lincoln Standard Plans (LSP) Reviewed and Referenced.
	Correct Standard Specifications Reviewed and Referenced.
	Review Completeness of Plan Notes and Details.
	-
	Geotechnical Report Reviewed to Assure Conformity with Plans.
	Covered by the <u>City of Lincoln Standard Specifications for Municipal Construction</u> ,
	Project Provisions (general conditions) or Standard Special Provisions
	Verify Plans Include Known Environmental Commitments.
	Coordinate Final Plans with "Project Review Checklist".
	Coordinate Final Plans with "Project Permit Checklist".
	-
	Right-of-Way, Temporary and Permanent Easements Acquired.
	Plans are conforming to the CADD Standards Sample Plans
SUR	VEY:
	Project Data for Horizontal and Vertical Alignment of All Proposed Construction,
	Service Roads, Profiles, etcHave Been Checked to See if the Data is Correct and Not
	Effected by Rounding Errors. Control Points for Horizontal Curves and Vertical Curves Shown
	 Control Points for Horizontal Curves and Vertical Curves Shown. Equalities (E.Q. stations) Shown on Survey Line Used for Length of Project (check with
	equalities shown on plan sheets).
	_ Datum Descriptions.
	Benchmarks are Listed (minimum of two required).
	Sufficient Dimensions and Tie Points for Field Location and Construction.

ITEMS FOR ROADWAY, STORM WATER, WASTE WATER, AND \slash OR WATER PROJECTS:

Revised: February 4, 2003 Page 2 of 8

Project Number:		
Project Type:		
Project Description:		
All Conflicting Utilities Have Been Dealt with and Resolution Documented.		
Other Projects in the Same Area Have Been Noted.		
Landscaping Items are Included.		
Erosion Control Items are Included.		
Signing and Signalization Items are Included.		
Lighting Items are Included.		
Earthwork Computations Complete and Accurate.		
Retaining Walls.		
Fence and Type.		
Contour Grading Detail Shown, if Applicable		
Subsurface Plans with Grade Line and Earthwork Checked Against Final Plans.		
Estimates:		
Estimate Made for Each City Project Number, State Project Number, Federal		
Project Number, and Other Parts as Necessary.		
Final Computer Estimate Checked Against the Quantity Calculations.Description Number, Section number, Item Description and Engineering Cost		
Estimate Checked Against Pay Item List.		
Cost-Based Estimate Quantity Breakdown Summary Sheet Completed.		
Cost-based Estimate Quantity Dreakdown Summary Sheet Completed.		
ROADWAY:		
Roadway Width Appropriate for Type of Roadway and Project Requirements.		
Horizontal Curve Data:		
Stations for P.C. and P.T.		
Number/Label for P.I.		
Radius/Degree of Curvature		
Curve Length		
Tangent Length		
Super Elevation		
Runoff Length		
Vertical Curve Data		
Stations for V.P.C., V.P.I, AND V.P.T.		
Elevation for V.P.C., V.P.I, AND V.P.T.		
Length of Curve.		
'K' Value		
Stopping Sight Distance Along Mainline Roadway.		
High Point/Low Point Location.		
Intersection and Driveway Sight Distance Including Notes.		
Pavement Cross Slope		
Street Slope		
Pavement Thickness Corresponds with Appropriate Requirements		
Intersections and Driveweys		
Intersections and Driveways (For more information and guidance see Chapter 4.00 "Driveway Design Standards" in		
(For more information and guidance see Chapter 4.00 "Driveway Design Standards" in		

Revised: February 4, 2003 Page 3 of 8

Project 1	Number:
Project 7	Гуре:
Project I	Description:
	the City of Lincoln Design Standards.) Traffic Data, Traffic Projections and Vehicle Turn Movements (including u-turns) Have Been Addressed. Angle of Intersection. Alignment of Lanes. Sight Distance. Appropriate Curve Radius (including commercial truck turns and bus turns when required). Islands with Details Including Alignment. Information for Constructing Three Centered Curves. Length of Turn Lanes. Proposed Entrances and Exits Align with Other Entrances and Exits Proposed Entrances and Exits Located to Provide Maximum Separation From Other Drive Approaches and Intersections Left-Turn Lanes are Offset for Sight Distance (or as a minimum line up). Maximum Slope of Entering Lane is Equal To or Less Than 3.0% Markings Pedestrian Ramps
	Cross-Sections Cross Check to Verify Adequate Sight Distances at Bridges, Intersections and Entrances. Driveway Slopes are Not Excessive (8% maximum is desirable). Tie-In Locations Meet Existing Ground. Sidewalk Sloped Towards Roadway Right-of-Way, Permanent, and Temporary Easements Shown. Drainage, Limits of Grading.
	Traffic Controls and Temporary Detours Roadway Traffic Handling Considerations. Minimum MUTCD Requirements and City of Lincoln Traffic Control Guidelines for Street Construction, Maintenance and Utility Operations Have Been Reviewed. Requirements Necessary Above and Beyond the Minimum Requirements are Listed and Noted in the Special Provisions. Maintenance of Access Points During Construction. Phasing of Construction All Traffic Handling Requirements are Listed and Noted in the Special Provisions. Structures Checked for Vertical and Horizontal Clearances to Assure That Tie Points and Centerline Grades are Correct.

Revised: February 4, 2003 Page 4 of 8

Project 1	Number:
Project 7	Гуре:
Project Description:	
	Cul-de-Sac and/or Dead Ends
	Cur-de-Sac and/of Dead Ends Conformity to Design Standards and LSP 662.
	Proper Barricades and Markers (reference LSP 164)
	Turn Around Provisions (reference LSP 620)
	Pavement Markings
	Conformity to Design Standards and LSP 79
	Turn pockets proper length (150' minimum)
	Tapers correct length? (As per AASHTO green book standards)
	Parking issues that affect markings have been addressed
	Crosswalks issues addressed
	Existing markings on ends of projects are consistent
	Stop bars needed for bus/truck turning movement Pavement marking gores correct
	All lane widths shown on each street
	All cross-streets identified
	Left-Turn lanes offset for sight distance
	All cross-streets identified
	Check to See Design Appropriate for ADT.
	Driveway Locations and Intersection Locations Met Standards.
	Is Control of Access Needed.
	Sidewalks
	Cross Slopes
	Locations Connections
	Width
	Width
STOR	M WATER DRAINAGE:
	Hydraulic Data (not on plans, but should be checked)
	Drainage Area
	Frequency (2 yr, 5 yr, 10 yr, 25 yr, 50 yr, or 100 yr)
	Discharge Coefficient
	Drainage Study (not on plans, but should be checked)
	Accurate Calculations Should Be Provided.
	Pipe Computations (Minimum size =
	Hydraulic Grade Line Profile
	Street Carrying Capacity Computations
	Overland Flow Computations

Revised: February 4, 2003 Page 5 of 8

Project Number:		
Project Type:		
Project	Description:	
	Outlet Computations	
	Flood Elevations, FEMA Map	
	Storm Water Drainage System Pipe Sizes and Slope as Required by Subdivision Study. Storm Water Drainage System Provides Required Capacity.	
	Inlets and Inlet Study	
	Inlet Computations.	
	Inlet Study Conforms to Proper Design Standards.	
	Straight or Canted as Shown on Inlet Study	
	Number and Location of Inlets are Appropriate (double check drainage patterns	
	and flow path on any horizontal curves).	
	Placement of Inlets, Check Both Horizontally and Vertically (will collect	
	drainage, not on high side of curves, located in sump areas).	
	Top of Curb Elevation.	
	Flow Line of Inlet (minimum of 20" cover + pipe diameter + pipe wall thickness	
	to top of curb)	
	Dimension and Stationing for Inlet Shown Correctly	
	Manholes I agation (about the operatorline of street at intersections of storm during a	
	Location (should be on centerline of street at intersections of storm drainage,	
	significant change in slope or direction.)	
	Spacing (600 ft. maximum)	
	Rim Elevation	
	Flow Line (F.L.)	
	Type Storm Water Drainage Profiles.	
	Minimum Slopes and Maximum Velocity Requirements.	
	Minimum Cover Requirements.	
	Minimum Separation Between Storm Drainage Line and Other Utilities.	
	Flow Line at the Following Locations:	
	Manholes	
	Control points of Horizontal Curves	
	Beginning and End of Project	
	Inlets and Outlets From or To Open Channels	
	Inlets and Outlets of Culverts	
	Erosion Control and Rip-Rap	
	Special Ditches	
	Special Drainage Structure or Headwalls.	
	Grates on Flared End Section (F.E.S.), on Inlet Side Only.	
	Easements Acquired or Recorded. Including Future Locations.	
	Easements Must be Shown Around Sump Inlets Ponding on Private Property.	
	Furthermore, Sump Inlet Ponding Calculations Must be Provided.	
	Easements Must be Provided Around Open Drainageways. Furthermore,	
	Computations Supporting the Drainageway Design Must be Provided.	

Revised: February 4, 2003 Page 6 of 8

Project	Number:
Project	Type:
	Description:
SANI	ΓARY SEWER:
	Sanitary Sewer Sized Appropriately (minimum size = 8")
	Accurate Calculations (not on plans, but should be checked)
	M. 1.1
	Location
	At Lot Lines Except at Intersections of Sanitary Sewers.
	Change of Grade
	Change of Direction
	Spacing (600 ft. maximum)
	Rim Elevation
	Flow Line (F.L.) Need Elevation Difference Between Inlet and Outlet to Help
	Slope Drainage.
	Drop Manholes (invert elevation difference greater than 2.5 foot difference).
	Services Shown to Each Platted Lot (table of information) Location of Wye on Sanitary Sewer
	Flow Line of Main Sewer at Wye
	Flow Line of Service at Wye (1ft. above flow line of main sewer).
	Flow Line of Service at Lot Line.
	Length of Service.
	Location of Service at Lot Line (dimension from lot line if not centered in lot).
	Note (if applicable): Use 3 Degree Couplings on All Radii Where R<360 ft. Minimum
	Pipe Length = $6'-3''$.
	Sanitary Sewer Profiles
	Minimum Slopes and Maximum Velocity Requirements Checked.
	Minimum Cover Requirements; (maximum depth = 15 feet)
	Minimum Separation Between Sewer Line and Other Utilities.
	Flow Line at the Following Locations.
	Manholes
	Control Points Beginning and End of Project
	Beginning and End of Project
WATE	
	Valve Location and Spacing Meet Water Department Guidelines and Design Standards.
	Hydrant Locations and Spacing (maximum 420 feet) Have Been Reviewed with Water Department
	Have Been Reviewed with Fire Department ———————————————————————————————————
	Multi-lane Roadway (put both sides)
	Check Curb Line Elevation and Hydrant Length (5.5 feet or 6.5 feet from flange
	to elbow).
	Proposed Top-of-Curb Grade at Hydrants
	Waterline Profile.

Revised: February 4, 2003 Page 7 of 8

Project Number:	
Project Type:	
Project Description:	
Minimum Cover Require	twen Water Line and Other Utilities ing Locations:

Revised: February 4, 2003 Page 8 of 8